

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,043	02/27/2002	Joseph A. Kwak	, I-2-0203.2US	8075
24374 7	7590 11/15/2004		EXAMINER	
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			TSEGAYE, SABA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2662	
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19103		DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/084,043	KWAK, JOSÉPH A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Saba Tsegaye	2662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ai</u>	<u>ugust 2004</u> .	,			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	0.			
		•			
Attachment(s)	л <u>Г</u>	(DTO 442)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Uniterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/05/04, 5/2/64, 1/16/83; 2/04/83, 11/03/03 Statement(s) (PTO-152) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 7/07/03, 3/14/03, 4/29/03, PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20041108			

Application/Control Number: 10/084,043 Page 2

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 8/9/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 09/939,410. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Art Unit: 2662

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/084,414. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-9 of the instant application merely broadens the scope of the claims 1-12 of the copending Application by eliminating the elements and their functions of the claims. It has been held that the omission an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note *Ex parte Rainu*, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd.App.1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2662

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1, 2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schramm et al. (US 6,208,663).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Schramm discloses, in Figs. 3 and 5, a method for adjusting data modulation at base station comprising:

receiving data at a transmitter for transmission (a radio base stations 22);

formatting the received data into packets for transmission to the receiver, each packet having a particular encoding/data modulation (a radio base stations 22; column 5, lines 46-58); transmitting the packets (column 5, lines 25-45);

monitoring a return channel for receipt of acknowledgment for reach packet that the packet has been received (column 7, lines 39-53; column 8, lines 37-42);

for each received packet, generating and transmitting an acknowledgment at the physical layer using a fast feedback channel, if the received packet has an acceptable error rate ();

retransmitting that received packet at the transmitter, if an acknowledgment for that packet is not received (column 7, lines 39-53);

collecting retransmission statistics (column 7, lines 1-13); and

adjusting each particular encoding/data modulation using the collected retransmission statistics (column 7, lines 1-38).

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claim 2, Schramm discloses the method wherein the particular encoding/data modulation is forward error correction FEC encoding /data modulation (column 7, line 54-column 8, line 11).

Regarding claims 5 and 8, Schramm discloses the method wherein the acknowledgments are transmitted on the fast feedback channel using a CDMA air interface (column 4, lines 49-56).

Regarding claims 6 and 9, Schramm discloses the method further comprising transmitting a negative acknowledgment, if that packet has an unacceptable error rate (column 7, lines 39-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schramm in view of Agee (US 6,128,276).

Schramm discloses all the claim limitations as stated above except for: the packets are transmitted using an OFDMA air interface in which frequency sub channels in an OFDMA set may be selectively nulled.

Art Unit: 2662

Agee teaches a radio communication method that is compatible with discrete multiple tone and orthogonal frequency-division multiplex-like frequency channelization techniques (column 4, line19-column 5, line 40).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add a method that transmit packets using an OFDMA air interface, such as that suggested by Agee, in the method of Schramm in order to allow stationary and linear channel distortion to be modeled as an exactly multiplicative effect on the transmit spreading code.

10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schramm in view of Birru (US 2002/0037058).

Schramm discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Schramm discloses that the invention is applied to all types of access methodologies including FDMA, TDMA, CDMA and hybrids thereof. However, Schramm does not expressly discloses wherein the packet are transmitted using a single carrier having a frequency domain equalization (SC-FDE) air interface.

Birru teaches that a multi-standard demodulator, which includes COFDM, a frequency domain equalizer for single carrier results in a cost-effective solution compared to a time domain equalizer.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use SC-FDE, such as that suggested by Birru, in the multi-access methodologies of Schramm in order to provide cost effectiveness and multi-path performance (0059).

Application/Control Number: 10/084,043 Page 7

Art Unit: 2662

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Schenk et al. (US 6,647,076) discloses a method for the compensation of interference in a signal generated by discrete multitone modulation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST November 9, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER